

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,766	09/15/2003	Robert Kindermann	449122064200	7271	
25227 MORRISON &	7590 06/14/2007 . & FOERSTER LLP	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD IBRAHIM, MOR		MOHAMED			
SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA	x 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	. 2144		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/661,766	KINDERMANN, ROBERT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mohamed Ibrahim	2144	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Se	eptember 2003.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pre	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2004 is/are: a	)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*	
,—	diffilier. Note the attached office	S Addon of form 1 TO-102.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
<ul><li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:</li><li>1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document</li></ul>	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •		
application from the International Bureau	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  Notice of Informal I		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2144

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: Last line of claim two recites the phrase "object OBJ." Applicant is asked to either place parenthesis around "OBJ" or completely remove it from the claim for consistency purposes.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear to the Examiner what exactly the Applicant is claiming with this phrase "transferring the object to the first subscriber and stored on the computer."

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Page 3

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimoosawa, U. S. Patent No. 6658456.

Regarding claim 1, Shimoosawa discloses a method for establishing a connection between a first and a second subscriber of a telecommunications network (see e.g. fig. 6 and col. 4 lines 3-8; a public switched telephone network), comprising: creating an object intended for use on a computer (see e.g. col. 1 lines 57-61; sending an e-mail); inserting an address assigned to the second subscriber in the telecommunications network (see e.g. col. 4 lines 57-65; e-mail address is required in order to compose emails); transferring the object to the first subscriber and stored the computer (see e.g. col. 8 lines 22-33; e-mail transmission and storage units are provided ); reading out, with aid of a function which is activated by the first subscriber, the address assigned to the second subscriber in the telecommunications network (see e.g. col. 10 lines 3-4; received e-mail is activated or read); and establishing the connection between first and second subscriber with aid of the address, wherein the address assigned to the second subscriber in the telecommunications network is added as an attribute to the content of the object and is read out (see e.g. col. 5 lines 20-44; the transfer determination unit carries out a matching in the transfer management table for the e-mail address which the received e-mail from the sender was destined).

Claim 2 is substantially the same as claim 1 and is thus rejected for reasons similar to those in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore Shimoosawa discloses determining with aid of a

Art Unit: 2144

function which is activated by the subscriber, the address assigned to the second subscriber in the telecommunication network using the identification included in the

Page 4

object (see e.g. col. 5 lines 39-63).

established (see e.g. col. 6 lines 25-37).

Regarding claim 3, Shimoosawa discloses wherein the identification included in the attribute of the object is transferred in the telecommunications network using the function activated by the first subscriber, the address of the second subscriber is determined based on the identification and the connection to the second subscriber is

Regarding claim 4, Shimoosawa wherein an e-mail is provided as the object, an e-mail address of the second subscriber is included as the identification, and the address of the second subscriber in the telecommunications network is determined based on the e-mail address (see e.g. col. 5 lines 20-28 and col. 6 lines 17-23).

Regarding claim 5, the limitation of claim 5 is substantially same as that of claim 1 and thus is rejected for reasons similar to that on claim 1.

Claim 6 list some the same elements of claim 1, but in computer form rather than method form. Therefore, the supporting rationale of the rejection to claim 1 applies equally as well to claim 6.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please refer to form PTO-892 (Notice of Reference Cited) for a list of relevant prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohamed Ibrahim whose telephone number is 571-270-1132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William C. Vaughn, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2144

/MI/ ONT

WILLIAM VAUGHN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100